Finding of No Significant Impact

Environmental Assessment Expression of Interest #1813 ES-020-2017-09

INTRODUCTION

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA), ES-020-2017-09, to address the offering of certain oil and gas lease parcels in Natchitoches and Winn Parishes, Louisiana at the December 2017 BLM Eastern States Competitive Oil and Gas Lease Sale (December Lease Sale). Under the proposed action the BLM would offer for sale one lease parcel totaling 830 acres of Federal minerals administered by the BLM. Standard United States Forest Service (USFS) terms and conditions as well as parcel-specific timing limitation, No Surface Occupancy (NSO), and Controlled Surface Use (CSU) stipulations have been attached to the parcel as specified through the EA to be issued. In addition to the proposed action, a No Action alternative was analyzed in the EA.

EXTERNAL SCOPING

Informal consultation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) was conducted in compliance with the Endangered Species Act, Section 7 Consultation requirements. A letter of concurrence for the proposed action was received from FWS on July 12, 2017. The Louisiana State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) was consulted on May 12, 2017 and a concurrence letter for the proposed action was received on July 13, 2017. Additionally, letters were sent to various tribes from May 8-12, 2017. Responses were received from two tribes on June 12, 2017 and June 29, 2017 agreeing that cultural resource studies are warranted prior to approval of any development proposals. A 30-day review period is provided for public review and comment on the EA prior to the proposed lease sale.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Based upon a review of the EA and supporting documents, I have determined that the Proposed Action is not a major Federal action, and will not significantly affect the quality of the human environment, individual or cumulatively, with other actions in the general area. No environmental effects meet the definition of significance in context or intensity as defined in 40 CFR 1508.27.

This finding is based on the context and intensity of the project as described:

Context:

The Proposed Action would occur in Natchitoches and Winn Parishes, Louisiana in the South-Central Plains Ecoregion. The project includes federal mineral estate underlying federal surface managed by USFS and does not have known or identified international, national, regional, or state-

wide importance. The proposed lease would give the lessee exclusive rights to explore and develop oil and gas reserves on the lease, but does not in itself authorize surface disturbing activities. Although there is no surface disturbance at this stage, the EA analyzes a reasonably foreseeable development scenario (RFD) to assess potential indirect effects from drilling that may occur later at the Application for Permit to Drill (APD) stage. Additional site-specific National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis will be conducted at that time.

Intensity:

The following discussion is organized around the Ten Significance Criteria described in 40 CFR 1508.27 and incorporated into resources and issues considered (includes supplemental authorities Appendix 1 H-1790-1) and supplemental Instruction Memorandum, Acts, regulations and Executive Orders. The following have been considered in evaluating intensity for this proposal:

Impacts that may be both beneficial and adverse.

The Proposed Action would affect resources as described in the EA. Mitigating measures to reduce impacts to the various resources were incorporated in the design of the proposed action. None of the direct, indirect, or cumulative environmental effects discussed in detail in the EA are considered significant. This area is not covered by a BLM Resource Management Plan, however, in accordance with 43 CFR 1610.8(b)(1), the EA serves as the basis for making a decision on this proposed action.

2. The degree to which the proposed action affects public health or safety.

The Proposed Action is designed to offer a lease parcel for sale and would not directly affect public health or safety. There would also be no indirect effects to public health or safety as a result of potential future development. If the Federal mineral lease is subsequently sold and the lease enters into a development stage, public health or safety would be further addressed through site-specific NEPA analysis where specific mitigation measures to control potential for spills or wastes would be identified.

 Unique characteristics of the geographic area such as proximity to historic or cultural resources, park lands, prime farmlands, wetlands, wild and scenic rivers, or ecologically critical areas.

The EA evaluated the area of the Proposed Action and determined that the unique geographic characteristics of a Wild and Scenic River was Present. Saline Bayou is a designated National Wild and Scenic River and a state designated Natural and Scenic River. Approximately 1.3 miles of Saline Bayou is contained within the proposed project boundary. This critical area is protected specifically by a USFS No Surface Occupancy (NSO) lease stipulation and generally by other stipulations designed to protect water resources. Therefore, no direct impacts to this resource are anticipated as a result of the proposed project. No other unique geographic characteristics of Prime

or Unique Farmlands, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, Designated Wilderness areas, or Wilderness Study Areas were Present. Indirect impacts from potential future development would be controlled through the use of best management practices and stipulations. If the lease enters into a development stage at a later date, aquatic habitats would be further addressed through site-specific NEPA.

4. The degree to which the effects on the quality of the human environment are likely to be controversial.

Effects on the quality of the human environment are not expected to be significant or highly controversial. Site-specific NEPA will be conducted that addresses specific effects on resources at the time of development. Controversy in this context is considered to be in terms of disagreement about the nature of the effect- not political controversy or expression of opposition to the action or preference among the alternatives analyzed within the EA.

5. The degree to which the possible effects on the human environment are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks.

The project is not unique or unusual. The BLM has experience implementing similar actions in similar areas. The environmental effects to the human environment are fully analyzed in the EA. There are no predicted effects on the human environment that are considered to be highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks.

The degree to which the action may establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration.

This project neither establishes a precedent nor represents a decision in principle about future actions. This leasing of Federal minerals and more specifically fluid minerals has been occurring since the creation of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920. A decision to lease would not limit later resource management decisions for areas open to development proposals.

7. Whether the action is related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts.

The interdisciplinary teams involved in preparing the EA evaluated the proposed action in the context of past, present and reasonably foreseeable actions. Significant cumulative effects are not expected.

8. The degree to which the action may adversely affect districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed in or eligible for listing in the NRHP or may cause loss or destruction of significant scientific, cultural, or historical resources.

There are no features within the project area listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) that would be adversely affected by a decision to offer for sale the

subject parcel, or from potential future development. If the lease enters into a development stage, NRHP resources would be further addressed through site-specific NEPA.

The degree to which the action may adversely affect an endangered or threatened species or its habitat that has been determined to be critical under the ESA of 1973.

Eight proposed, threatened, or endangered species are documented in Natchitoches and Winn Parishes by FWS. For EOI #1813 in Natchitoches and Winn Parishes, BLM has determined that there would be no effect on four species – the interior least tern, the pallid sturgeon, the Texas emerald, and the earth fruit due to unsuitable habitat. The proposal to lease may affect but is not likely to adversely affect three species – the red-cockaded woodpecker, the Louisiana pine snake, and the northern long-eared bat that may occupy habitat within the project boundary. Due to recovery status, no determination was made for the Louisiana black bear. FWS has concurred with BLM determinations. Furthermore, post-lease actions/authorizations (e.g. APD, road/pipeline Right-Of-Way), could be encumbered by further restrictions on a case-by-case basis, as required through project-specific NEPA analysis or other environmental review.

10. Whether the action threatens a violation of Federal, State, or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment.

The project does not violate any known Federal, State, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. In addition, the project is consistent with applicable land management plans, policies and programs.

Monique McDonald

Acting District Manager

Southeastern States District Office

danette Ur Bruggoting

Date

12.12.2017